



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,888	01/16/2004	Alexander I. Shakhnovich	02090CIP	7005
7590	07/26/2005		EXAMINER	
Cabot Corporation Law Department 157 Concord Road Billerica, MA 01821			FAISON, VERONICA F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/758,888	SHAKHNOVICH, ALEXANDER I.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Veronica F. Faison	1755	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 May 2005.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 25-36 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 9-15, 23 and 24 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

No claims have been amended, added or canceled. Hence, claims 1-36 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platman et al (US Patent 5,246,494).

Platman et al teach a mixed couple azo pigment prepared from 30 to 70 percent of two or more diazonium components, at least one derived from a monoaryl amine and an organic coupling component, said pigment containing one or more COOH or SO₃H groups or ester (abstract and col. 3 line 44-col. 4 line 29). The mixed coupled azo pigment are prepared by initially diazotizing a mixture of two or more aromatic amine compounds to form a mixture of diazonium salts and thereafter coupling the mixture of diazonium salts with a coupling component (col. 4 lines 40-45). The reference further teaches that the aromatic amines may also contain one or two acid groups which may be -COOH, -SO₃H, or the esters or amides or alkali or alkaline earth metal salts thereof (col. 5 lines 28-33). The mixtures comprising at least two diazonium components used in preparing the pigments may be prepared by diazotizing a mixture of aromatic amines,

or the mixture can be prepared separately diazotizing individual amines and combining the diazotized amines. The diazotization of the aromatic amines may be carried out in the manner known through the use of alkali metal nitrites or lower alkyl nitrites together with an adequately strong acid (col. 6 lines 34-50). The coupling component may be any compound capable of coupling the diazonium components such as pyrazolone (col. 6 lines 59-68). Platman et al fails to specifically exemplify the use of azo coupler as claimed by applicant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the specific azo coupler as claimed by applicant as Platman et al also discloses the use of azo coupler but shows no example incorporating them.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-15, 23-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The references alone or in combination fail to teach the following:

1. the specific azo couplers
2. a dispersant having the formula set forth in claim 23.

Claims 25-36 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The references alone or in combination fail to teach the following:

1. ink jet ink comprising a dispersant having the formula set forth in claim 25.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5-4-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that there is not teaching or suggestion of the addition of a colored pigment and that the method taught by Applicant differs significantly from the reference, in which the colored pigment is also combines with the couple, amine, and diazotizing agent.

It is the position of the Examiner that the claim language "**in any order**" would encompass the teaches of Platman et al., there is nothing in Applicants claims that exclude the color pigment from being formed in situ and then being combined with the other components. Therefore the rejection set forth above has been maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1755

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica F. Faison whose telephone number is 571-272-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VFF
7-22-05

J. A. LORENZO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER